

E-FILED on 5/6/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES,
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
and IGT,

Defendants.

No. C-06-03717 RMW

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO SEAL


[Re Docket No. 784]

Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Australia PTY Limited, and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Aristocrat") move to file under seal portions of its opposition to IGT's motion for leave to file first supplemental answer and counterclaims as well as portions of Exhibit 1 and all of Exhibits 2 through 6 to the Declaration of Jeremy T. Elman in support thereof.

A request to seal must establish that the document, or portions thereof, is privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. Civ. L. R. 79-5(a). The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material. *Id.* Having reviewed the material that Aristocrat seek to file under seal, the court has concerns about the extent of material which Aristocrat seeks to file under seal. With respect to Aristocrat's opposition to IGT's motion for leave to file first supplemental answer and counterclaims, it appears that there is no basis

1 for sealing lines 8-14 on page 9. As for Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jeremy T. Elman, it appears
2 that there is no basis for sealing the redacted portions of pages 40-43 and 50-53. The court therefore
3 orders Aristocrat to explain why these portions are sealable. If Aristocrat does not submit an
4 explanation establishing that this material is sealable by May 14, 2010, the motion to seal will be
5 denied.

6
7 DATED: 5/6/10



RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 **Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:**

2 **Counsel for Plaintiffs:**

3 Anthony R. de Alcaeus adealcuaz@mwe.com
 4 Terrence Patrick McMahon tmcMahon@mwe.com
 5 Jeremy Todd Elman jelman@mwe.com
 6 Robert J. Blanch, Jr. rblanch@mwe.com
 Phillip C. Ducker pducker@mwe.com

7 **Counsel for Defendants:**

8 Jeffrey Stewart Love jeffrey.love@klarquist.com
 9 Daniel Justin Weinberg dweinberg@orrick.com
 Gabriel M. Ramsey gramsey@orrick.com
 10 Garth Alan Winn garth.winn@klarquist.com
 Kristin L. Cleveland kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com
 Laura Kieran Kieckhefer kkieckhefer@orrick.com
 11 Michael J. Bettinger mike.bettinger@klgates.com
 Patrick Marshall Bible patrick.bible@klarquist.com
 12 Robert T. Cruzen rob.cruzen@klarquist.com
 Stephanie Sue Nelson stephanie.nelson@klarquist.com
 13 Eric Lance Wesenberg ewesenberg@orrick.com

14 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not
 15 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.

16 **Dated:** 5/6/10

17 **CCL**
Chambers of Judge Whyte